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1 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – easy to carry/move, water resistant, help return to standing, won’t damage plants, easy to store, comfortable for knees, include hand grip, etc.  \[1 \times 4 \] [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two additional features – trowel/implement holder, seed packet holder, drinks holder, etc.  \[2 \times 2 \] [4]

2 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – attractive colour/shape/layout, simple wording, stable in use, flat pack for distribution, pictures of flowers, etc.  \[1 \times 4 \] [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two waterproofing methods – any form of roof/shelter, examples of waterproof materials, waterproof constructions, whole stand or individual packets, etc.  \[2 \times 2 \] [4]

3 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – water resistant, does not damage hose, can be adjusted for different pipe lengths, freestanding/fixed to wall, method of winding/pulling in, etc.  \[1 \times 4 \] [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two joining methods – quick release and holding method, snail cam, spring lever, screw thread based, over centre cam, etc.  \[2 \times 2 \] [4]

Questions 1, 2 and 3

(c) Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.

Communication
Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques  \[0–2\]
Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation, etc.  \[3–4\]
High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail  \[5–6\]

Suitability
Simplistic designs showing outlines only  \[0–2\]
Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work  \[3–4\]
Accurate solutions, good fitness for purpose, construction detail  \[5–6\] [12]

(d) Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each  \[0–6\]
Selection and justification (1 + 1)  \[2\] [8]
(e) **Quality of drawing**

- Poor line quality, proportions, little detail: 1
- Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail: 2–3
- High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail: 4

**Dimensions**

- 2 or 3 overall dimensions only (1)
- Additional detail dimensions (1): 2

**Construction details**

- A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used: 0–2
- Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation: 3–4
- All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary: 5–6 [12]

(f) Suitable **specific** materials stated. (1 + 1): 2

Appropriate reasons for choice (1 + 1): 2 [4]

(g) Suitable method described: 1

Good detailed description of: processes 0–3

tools 0–2 [6]

[50]