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1 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – easy to access, lid to stop smell, hygienic, easy to wash out, easy to remove/empty, labels for materials. Accept other valid responses.  
(1 × 4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two places – in a cupboard, on the wall, under worktop, in corner, on a door. Accept other valid responses.  
(2 × 2) [4]

2 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – attractive colour/shape, has impact, invites people to read, stable in use, lightweight to carry/transport, easy to fold up/dismantle, information about recycling. Accept other valid responses.  
(1 × 4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two holding methods – ledges, pockets, rubber bands, clips, ‘velcro’, adhesives. Accept other valid responses.  
(2 × 2) [4]

3 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – simple to operate, safe in use, little force required, easy to clean, safety lock, fixed to wall. Accept other valid responses.  
(1 × 4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two mechanisms – screw jaws, levers, cams, rack and pinion. Accept other valid responses.  
(2 × 2) [4]

Questions 1, 2 and 3

(c) Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.

**Communication**
Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques. (0–2)
Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading /colour/annotation etc. (3–4)
High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail. (5–6)

**Suitability**
Simplistic designs showing outlines only. (0–2)
Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work. (3–4)
Accurate solutions, good fitness for purpose, construction detail. (5–6) [12]
(d) Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each. Selection and justification. (1+1) (0–6) (2) [8]

(e) Quality of drawing
- Poor line quality, proportions, little detail (1)
- Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail (2–3)
- High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail. (4)

Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only (1)
Additional detail dimensions (1) (2)

Construction details
- A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used. (0–2)
- Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation. (3–4)
- All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary. (5–6) [12]

(f) Suitable specific materials stated. (1+1) (2)
Appropriate reasons for choice. (1+1) (2) [4]

(g) Suitable method described.
- Good detailed description of: processes, tools. (0–3) (0–2) [6]

[Total: 50]