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1 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – easy to move around, easy to access dishes, dishes do not fall out, protects food, additional items/use, fits in with decor/room, etc. (1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two methods of securing dishes – rails, stand up edges, friction surface, recess in surface, etc. (2×2) [4]

2 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – attractive colour SHAPE, has impact, lightweight/easy to carry, easy to access items, items held separately, eating platform/surface, recyclable materials, etc. (1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two carrying handles – string/rope/tape, cardboard strip, cut out slots, extra layers, etc. (2×2) [4]

3 (a) Accept any four additional suitable points – adjustable to height of person/surface, takes different sizes and shapes of book, easy to store, simple to operate, ‘kitchen’ proof, etc. (1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any two protection methods – integral splatter guard, freestanding guard, guard fixed to wall/cupboard, sliding cover, etc. (2×2) [4]

Questions 1, 2 and 3

(c) Any suitable ideas. At least three different ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.

Communication
Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques (0–2)
Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation etc. (3–4)
High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques (5–6)

Suitability
Simplistic designs showing outlines only (0–2)
Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work (3–4)
Accurate solutions, good fitness for purpose, construction detail (5–6) [12]

(d) Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each (0–6)
Selection and justification (1+1) (2) [8]
(e) **Quality of drawing**
- Poor line quality, proportions, little detail (1)
- Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail (2–3)
- High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail (4)

**Dimensions**
- 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1
- Additional detail dimensions – 1 (2)

**Construction details**
- A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used (0–2)
- Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation (3–4)
- All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary (5–6) [12]

(f) Suitable **specific** materials stated. (1+1) (2)
- Appropriate reasons for choice. (1+1) [4]

(g) Suitable method described.
- Good detailed description of: processes tools. (0–3) (0–2) [6]
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