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1  (a) Accept any **four** additional suitable points – easy to move around, easy to access DVDs, DVDs do not fall out, compact, keeps dust off DVDs, easy to see display, has impact, etc.

(1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any **two** places – on counter, near the entrance, on the wall, near checkout, etc.

(2×2) [4]

2  (a) Accept any **four** additional suitable points – attractive colour/shape, has impact, invites people to read, includes photos of some products sold, will go through letter boxes easily, etc.

(1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any **two** features – pop up, window to open, font size/colour, slider, photo of shop, raised products, etc.

(2×2) [4]

3  (a) Accept any **four** additional suitable points – adjustable in length, takes different sizes and shapes, lightweight to hold, comfortable to hold, easy to store, simple to operate, etc.

(1×4) [4]

(b) Accept drawings of any **two** gripping mechanisms – screw cramps, jaws, levers, flexible rubber clips, spring clips, etc.

(2×2) [4]

Questions 1, 2 and 3

(c) Any suitable ideas. At least **three different** ideas for maximum marks. Pro rata if fewer.

**Communication**

Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques (0–2)

Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation etc. (3–4)

High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail (5–6)

**Suitability**

Simplistic designs showing outlines only (0–2)

Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work (3–4)

Accurate solutions, good fitness for purpose, construction detail (5–6) [12]

(d) Evaluation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to 2 marks each (0–6)

Selection and justification. (1+1) (2) [8]
(e) **Quality of drawing**
   - Poor line quality, proportions, little detail (1)
   - Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail (2–3)
   - High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail (4)

   **Dimensions**
   - 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1
   - Additional detail dimensions – 1 (2)

   **Construction details**
   - A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used (0–2)
   - Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation (3–4)
   - All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary (5–6) [12]

(f) Suitable **specific** materials stated. (1+1) (2)
   - Appropriate reasons for choice. (1+1) (2) [4]

(g) Suitable method described.
   - Good detailed description of: processes tools. (0–3) (0–2) [6]

[Total: 50]